

NUC 1/03

Fill: Dilpatrick speech (Gomez)  
Jim Staley; Yano/VER; Kayser  
Larry McQuade my memo  
(Punk mirrors - CII)

Ann Arbor speech

my BNSP

Dir corp:

HCC cables

Hunt

Iwakuni

Hollnake/HCC

Delegation

PSALM

JSCP (China; Promotion; 325 M)  
no CW with SU

Misrule gap - Aug - Sept 61

GEOP - Altitude meetings

NESC 1099?

D-L Promotion...

us NATO speech - David + Holmsted

Enrol Morris:

Get intercepts of Q 2/4

(see NYT 11-9-05

Sunday WIR

If he thinks I've lied (or been wrong)  
tell me

If someone contradicts me, tell me  
(+ then)...

Iteration of docs & interviews...

How does he disagree with me,  
at this point?

How deal with Wells?

Stop camera

Randy Kehler

Nov. 29, 03

"What can I do / accomplish  
which would help bring about  
an activist movement

"What can wake people up... not  
just

broken - sticks

"I thought superior weaponry"

Hanson

statistically, the chance of a nuclear  
war by 1980 approach

~~RR~~ RK



NOC

Enola Gay  
(Pres conf)

Dec. 12, 3:35 AM ~~Sunday~~ DC

9-11-01: 2 ~~planes~~<sup>releases</sup> killed  
3000 people: civilians,  
non-combatants ("innocent civs")

These deaths were intended,  
deliberate, though randomly  
selected, indiscriminate

(a third plane hit a  
military target)

Thus, terrorism (in intent,  
to cause terror,  
also)

How many wounded  
on 9-11? Still?

(like suicide  
bombers:

[kamikaze, no:

(or: Pearl Harbor,  
no, as with comets,

though comparable in  
body-count, about

3000 military: with  
X planes, Y tons of bombs

12-12-03

if

de Mays belief that we would win war by Sept  
without A-bomb - gain of salt.

In 1945, only 2 cities could  
have destroyed <sup>17 sq. mi. of</sup> Tokyo - with

300 bombs (US + UK; not G, J, SU)

only 1 city, 2 cities with 2 plans

but the percent of use not non-prov  
(by US)

can now be followed <sup>tomorrow</sup> by 9 cities -

+ X more ((40)?) within

months to a year of decision to

deploy... (not "45 minutes")

US-GAR

[note, especially, of CW or BW]

[NOTE: NOTHING MADE J. SURRENDER "ALMOST CERTAIN" - NOT 2 A-  
BOMBS! ("10 NEEDED")]

Most Americans

(+ some Japanese)

thought fire-bombing

Guerra - see Roth!

and A-bombs were justified : of necessity

Most of would die not; (see <sup>K.</sup> Guerra)

and most elements of defense behind

of Americans are false (paying, if

[No machines of high - <sup>any</sup> under oath, of some])

and populace to murder on the

exception to of high - but US officials, of public

Centers since Dec 4, or April - May '45 <sup>ONLY INVASION</sup>

and no assessments of the of US; "no alt. steps to involve  
summarized" (not revealed!)



(Predicted, at Hague? Pre-terrors law:  
crimes <sup>vs.</sup> humanity? war crimes?)  
In Aug 45 (56 years later)  
2 plans caused 300,000 civ. deaths  
~150,000 immediately, ~150,000 <sup>2</sup> years  
(in addition to 900K earlier? ask Morison  
67 or 68 cities?  
+ 2?  
what date, the last  
raids? 860<sup>+</sup> or 1000?)  
ask: tonnage on Tokyo  
number of planes?  
both raids?

~~German~~ German and Japanese officials  
were tried, and ~~the~~ under Rongel, for  
3 categories of crime: vs. peace, vs. humanity,  
and war crimes [kill Bataan? POWs  
Yamashita  
or Russia Nanking?

No Americans or Brits were tried;  
and no G/I were tried for crimes  
committed also by Allies on large scale.  
(POWs?) (see Pet. Ryan). Never Germans were not tried  
for Rotterdam, Warsaw, Coventry, or the Blitz (was Japanese  
for Shanghai or Chungking). Never, no Americans

12-12-03 see 2 plans on 2  
2 with H-bombs new born plants 3  
A or ("Mines")

House, US Amir trial for  
N + N (S.J. Jabbeth, de May,  
Norstad / Arnold, JCS, Shaw, Asst  
(earlier FDR) Thyga de May + McN  
Mines acknowledge they were guilty, if  
US had lost the war.

war for Honky, Barrack,  
Bath the Berlin, (Mittler?)  
war 68-70 cities Bombing?  
in paper

Enola Gay + Bock's can cross over not  
the end B-29s to commit terrorism:  
other B-29s killed more cities.

The back-back ( $\leq 10$  to  $\approx 11$  of  
+ tactics MOAB!)  
(all at night, in paper? Committed for?  
or not necessary, at trial compare to B-1, B-23

Tokyo? May road in Tokyo?

of B-29 make it a strategy of cities  
look out, with H-bombs

(Did B-29 ever carry an H-bomb?)

A (+N) B-36, B-48, 52, 58

bomb use + non-banning non-prosecution  
(in 1945, ONLY 2 cities could have been bombed Tokyo, Hiroshima)  
PUT THE TERROR-BOMBING OF TOKYO WITHIN THE  
EVENTUAL CAP. OF ANY STATE OR GAVE WITH AN AIRLIFT



Nov 11/03

Shiva ~ non-Cen Budds

my epiphany:  
majority intel was on,  
on NCF terms  
(unacceptable to US, not to just any of people  
(probably preferably to  
GVN term, even if then  
could have ended over —  
which to contact.)

---

② (War was available before  
Hiroshima (May, June, July  
as Indianapolis!)

ie. as then acceptable to most  
of the high best officials —

① by July  
ALMOST  
NO MIL. thought with  
the Bank or Imperial was necessary  
and these some officials  
exempt T+B (and Admiral  
MacArthur,  
Nell?)

③ (and not intel analysts) behind  
this was possible (some,  
"very likely") and recommended  
exploring it ~ initiated T+B



or announcing (there!) thus  
at Potsdam:

(which supported in Congress  
Rifles, NYT ... (public!)

Barb (should) have been  
revealed ("demonstrated"!)

[LIKE FIRE-BOMBING:

SEE FLAP OVER DRESDEN

"Error"

!!

(Churchill)

TO US PUBLIC + CONGRESS

(esp. since not seen — by  
fire — by — of mil. as  
"necessary", or probably we.  
to get acceptable thus  
(less the UCS) + avoid  
invasion

WE DID "WIN"

— despite keeping

Explosion

AS IN C-II!

(Despite "conversion")

WC 4/03

Tell:

C-II

Mayaguez

ESL on air  
protection  
Kratom  
de loto

Aviation plans

McX and Trent missiles - deal  
Stevenson ...

[What if K had told us he had  
the warheads?

Why would he?

See Dooney Machine in

|| [My fear in Aug: SA did have  
WMD's, maybe even a nuke,  
and hadn't told us!

(see missile Gap)

McX & Ritze reactions.

Ritze - 10%



"ny" cable to Jinks

No "civil war"

not absurd to call it: neo-colonial

like French

I was a hawk on Berlin

(and Cuba II) but not wrt ruses

(or invasion: Cuba

"He offered to resign" for telling  
the truth about missile gap.

But that was not the end of the msg.

---

VFR bluff / lie is C-II <sup>RFR</sup> (invasion)

VFR bluff of EU in NATO

VFR bluff in VN: readiness to put in  
troops, bombs...

(see SN bluff on WMD's

K bluff on ICBMs

K silence on ruses in Cuba, and his back  
of control!



"Caroline"

MCN

(NFU, but)

1000 MM

to move to Europe

IRBMs to Turkey

blockade...

(ultimatum)

"light" RT

175,000

Margoson

34A

de Lott

TCR

Pete Hayes

50-100

Bush Sr. moved two wms - announced moved

Sept 28 1991 -

ROK Pres Kim for  
withdrew announced of Dec. 91 - actually not till Feb 92

securities/weapons

navies - strategy project - SK wms -

3. withdrawn

We have documented that we planning goes

Annex 5027 USFK Plan

for Korea

US reserved right to reintroduce wms in  
an emergency.

Issue is in SK - should US

In part, air-burst area weapons against  
advancing forces

ground-burst vs. CPs - includes Pyongyang

(ADMs)

All weapons were stored at Kunsan

Exercises to get weapons out of Korea, by  
helicopter

Koreans would have loaded weapons into containers  
and providing security - they were involved in exercises  
- from in Word that it would become known that  
it was planned to involve K's in handling wms.



Return



|| Yet UN GA Resolution vs. FU  
in Iraq or Korea  
vs. WMD Distinction

(Is it politically feasible/infeasible  
to drop "deterrence of CW/BW" of  
threat of me FU " !

After 9/11, antiterror ?!

— Bag, did that have an  
impact?

Who did it ?!

(like assass of CIA COS  
in Athens !)

after campaign on urgency of  
dismantling Iraq of CW/BW!  
Pauze!

Cohen (Chitar).

(For > 40 years, anti-me activism has  
focused on abol. of meas, or Frage/relaxation,  
CTB, or "stability," refused to focus on  
NFU — for fear (?) of "legitimizing  
Type I det, 2d use

(not focus on D-L! or preemption  
slanting)

Reflects ignorance of slant plans

D-L, preemption  
disap  
FU

+ threats